# Quantum quenches in the XXZ chain via six-vertex model with domain wall boundary conditions

#### Jean-Marie Stéphan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Camille Jordan Institute, University of Lyon, France

Workshop: Exactly Solvable Quantum Chains, Natal 2018



#### 1 Inhomogeneous Quantum Quenches

#### 2 An exact formula for the return probability



#### Work based on

JMS [1707.06625, J. Stat. Mech 2017]

N. Allegra, J. Dubail, JMS, J. Viti [1512.02872, J. Stat. Mech 2016]

#### Work based on

JMS [1707.06625, J. Stat. Mech 2017]

#### N. Allegra, J. Dubail, JMS, J. Viti [1512.02872, J. Stat. Mech 2016]



Jérôme Dubail (Nancy)



Jacopo Viti (Natal)

### Quantum quenches

Prepare a system in some pure state  $|\Psi_0\rangle$ .

Evolve with the Hamiltonian H,  $|\Psi_0\rangle$  not an eigenstate.

$$\left|\Psi(t)\right\rangle = e^{-iHt} \left|\Psi_{0}\right\rangle$$

- Unitary evolution, no coupling to an environment.
- Cold atom systems. Peculiar thermalization properties.

# Quench studied here

Time evolution  $|\Psi(t)\rangle = e^{-itH_{XXZ}} |\Psi_0\rangle$ 

$$H_{XXZ} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z} + 1/2} \left( S_x^1 S_{x+1}^1 + S_x^2 S_{x+1}^2 + \Delta S_x^3 S_{x+1}^3 \right)$$

Free fermion case (  $\Delta=0$  ) [Antal, Rácz, Rákos, and Schütz, 1999]

Interactions ( $\Delta \neq 0$ ): MPS numerical techniques [Gobert, Kollath, Schollwöck, and Schütz 2005]

- Hydrodynamic regime: large x, large t, finite x/t.
- Finite speed of propagation: light cone.



# Effective descriptions

• Generalized hydrodynamics ( $|\Delta| < 1$ , ballistic) [Castro-Alvaredo, Doyon, Yoshimura 2016] [Bertini, Collura, De Nardis, Fagotti 2016]

This particular quench (root of unity  $\Delta = \cos \frac{\pi p}{q}$ ),

$$S_x^3(x/t) = -\frac{q}{2\pi} \arcsin\left(\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{q}}{\sin\frac{\pi p}{q}}\frac{x}{t}\right) \qquad (!)$$

[De Luca, Collura, Viti 2017]

•  $\Delta = 1$  is an open problem. Super diffusive behavior ( $t^{3/5}$  here) was conjectured from numerics [Ljubotina, Znidaric, Prosen 2017]

I compute the return probability  $\mathcal{R}(t) = |\langle \Psi(0) | \Psi(t) \rangle|^2$  exactly  $\forall t$ [JMS 2017]

Simple guess for asymptotics: ballistic, so  $\mathcal{R}(t) \leq e^{-at}$ .

Nb: 
$$\overline{\mathcal{R}(t)} \sim \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - e^{-2k\eta}\right)^2$$
,  $\cosh \eta = \Delta > 1$   
[Mossel, Caux 2011]

			·













Long range correlations: gaussian free field, or coulomb gas, or free compact boson CFT (c = 1), or euclidean Luttinger liquid.



























#### An exact formula for the return probability



#### An exact formula for the return probability



History [Logan, Shepp 1977; Vershik, Kerov 1977; Nienhuis, Hilhorst, Blöte 1982]



Fits into curved CFT formalism [Allegra, Dubail, JMS, Viti 2016]



Add attractive interactions between dimers (no theorem)



Add repulsive interactions between dimers (no theorem)

#### Six-vertex model



$$a = d\sin(\gamma + \epsilon)$$
 ,  $b = d\sin\epsilon$  ,  $c = d\sin\gamma$   
 $\Delta = \frac{a^2 + b^2 - c^2}{2ab} = \cos\gamma.$ 

Disclaimer: in the following a = 1, and  $\Delta$  is fixed to some value.

#### An Observation

[JMS 2014] [Allegra, Dubail, JMS, Viti 2016]



Six vertex model with domain wall boundary conditions [Korepin 1982]

#### An Observation

[JMS 2014] [Allegra, Dubail, JMS, Viti 2016]



#### An Observation

[JMS 2014] [Allegra, Dubail, JMS, Viti 2016]



Hamiltonian (or Trotter) limit.

#### Relation through a transfer matrix (6-vertex model)

$$Z_n^{\mathrm{IK}}(b) = \langle \dots \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \dots | T(b)^{2n} | \dots \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \dots \rangle$$

partition function of the six vertex model with domain wall boundary conditions.

$$T(b) = 1 + bH_{XXZ} + O(b^2)$$

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} T(\tau/2n)^N = e^{\tau H_{XXZ}}$$

$$\mathcal{Z}(\tau) = \langle \Psi_0 | e^{\tau H} | \Psi_0 \rangle = \lim_{n \to \infty} Z_n^{\text{IK}}(b = \frac{\tau}{2n})$$

This is a Trotter limit. Familiar in the context of QTM, QFT, etc.

# Izergin-Korepin partition function

There is an exact determinant formula for  $Z_n^{\rm IK}$ [Izergin 1987, Izergin, Coker, Korepin 1992]

In the homogeneous limit it becomes a Hankel determinant:

$$Z_n^{\rm IK} = \frac{\left[\sin\epsilon\right]^{n^2}}{\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} k!^2} \det_{0 \le i,j \le n-1} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du \, u^{i+j} e^{-\epsilon u} \frac{1 - e^{-\gamma u}}{1 - e^{-\pi u}} \right)$$

where recall  $b = \frac{\sin \epsilon}{\sin(\gamma + \epsilon)}$  and  $\cos \gamma = \Delta$ .

Can be rewritten as a Fredholm determinant [Slavnov 2003] (see also [Colomo Pronko 2003])

#### Hankel matrices and orthogonal polynomials

-1

- Choose a scalar product  $\langle f,g\rangle = \int dx f(x)g(x)w(x)$
- Let  $\{p_k(x)\}_{k\geq 0}$  be a set of monic orthogonal polynomials for the scalar product ,  $\langle p_k,p_l\rangle=h_k\delta_{kl}$
- Consider the Hankel matrix A, with elements  $A_{ij} = \langle x^{i+j} \rangle$

$$\det A = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} h_k \quad , \quad (A^{-1})_{ij} = \frac{\partial^{i+j} K_n(x,y)}{i! j! \partial x^i \partial y^j} \Big|_{\substack{x=0\\y=0}} \text{ with}$$
$$K_n(x,y) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{p_k(x) p_k(y)}{h_k} = \frac{1}{h_{n-1}} \frac{p_n(x) p_{n-1}(y) - p_{n-1}(x) p_n(y)}{x-y}$$

#### The peculiar case of $\Delta = 0$

Orthogonal polynomials may be identified as Meixner-Pollaczek polynomials [Colomo, Pronko 2005], and may be computed explicitly.

$$Z_n(a = 1, b, \Delta = 0) = (1 + b^2)^{n^2/2}$$
$$\mathcal{Z}(\tau) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 + \frac{\tau^2}{4n^2}\right)^{n^2/2} = e^{\tau^2/8}$$

Relation with several other problems: representations of U(N)[Weyl 1925] and Schur processes, PNG droplet [Prähofer, Spohn 2002], Toeplitz determinants, combinatorics of oscillating Young tableaux [Roby 1995].

#### Laguerre polynomials

$$w(x) = e^{-\epsilon x}$$
 on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  ,  $\det(A) = \frac{\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} k!^2}{\epsilon^{n^2}}$ 

$$Z_n = \left(\frac{\sin\epsilon}{\epsilon}\right)^{n^2} \times \frac{\det_{0 \le i,j \le n-1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du \, u^{i+j} e^{-\epsilon u} \frac{1 - e^{-\gamma u}}{1 - e^{-\pi u}}\right)}{\det_{0 \le i,j \le n-1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du \, u^{i+j} e^{-\epsilon u} \Theta(u)\right)}$$

Now use  $\frac{\det A}{\det B} = \det(B^{-1}A) = \det(1 + B^{-1}(A - B))$  to get something well behaved in the Hamiltonian limit.

#### Result: exact fredholm determinant representation

[JMS 2017]

$$\mathcal{Z}(\tau) = \langle \Psi_0 | e^{\tau H} | \Psi_0 \rangle = e^{-\frac{1}{24} (\tau \sin \gamma)^2} \det(I - V)$$

$$V(x,y) = B(x,y)\,\omega(y)$$
$$B(x,y) = \frac{\sqrt{y}J_0(\sqrt{x})J_0'(\sqrt{y}) - \sqrt{x}J_0(\sqrt{y})J_0'(\sqrt{x})}{2(x-y)}$$
$$\omega(y) = \Theta(y) - \frac{1 - e^{-\gamma y/(2\tau\sin\gamma)}}{1 - e^{-\pi y/(2\tau\sin\gamma)}}$$

$$\log \det(I - V) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} dx_1 \dots dx_k V(x_1, x_2) \dots V(x_k, x_1)$$

### Alternative form using Meixner-Pollaczek polynomials

$$\mathcal{Z}(\tau) = e^{-i\frac{\tau}{2}\sin\gamma} \det(I - W)$$

$$W(x,y) = e^{i(\tau \sin \gamma - \gamma)} \frac{f_{\tau}(x)g_{\tau}(y) - f_{\tau}(y)g_{\tau}(x)}{x - y} \frac{e^{2\gamma y}}{1 + e^{2\pi y}}$$

$$f_{\tau}(x) = {}_{1}F_{1}(ix + 1/2, 1, -i\tau \sin \gamma)$$
,  $g_{\tau}(x) = -\tau \partial_{\tau}f_{\tau}(x)$ 

$${}_{1}F_{1}(a,b,z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{k}}{(b)_{k}} \frac{z^{k}}{k!} \quad , \quad (c)_{k} = c(c+1)\dots(c+k-1) = \frac{\Gamma(c+k)}{\Gamma(c)}$$







# Area law and arctic curves $(\alpha = \pi/(\pi - \gamma))$

$$\frac{x(s)}{\tau} = \frac{\alpha^2 \csc^2 \alpha s \left\{ \cos(2\gamma + 3s)(\cos s - \alpha \sin s \cot \alpha s) + \alpha \sin s \cos s \cot \alpha s + \cos^2 s - 2 \right\} + 2}{\csc s \csc(\gamma + s)(\sin^2(\gamma + s) + \sin^2 s)}$$

$$\frac{y(s)}{\tau} = \frac{\left[ 2\alpha^2 \csc \gamma \sin^2 s \csc^2 \alpha s \left\{ 2\alpha \sin s \cot \alpha s \sin(\gamma + s) - \sin(\gamma + 2s) \right\} - 1 \right] + \sin^2 s}{\csc^2(\gamma + s)(\sin^2(\gamma + s) + \sin^2 s)}$$



[Colomo, Pronko 2009]

#### Asymptotics

Easiest: use [Zinn-Justin 2000] [Bleher, Fokin 2006]

$$\mathcal{Z}(\tau) \underset{\tau \to \infty}{\sim} \exp\left(\left[\frac{\pi^2}{(\pi - \gamma)^2} - 1\right] \frac{(\tau \sin \gamma)^2}{24}\right) \tau^{\kappa(\gamma)} O(1)$$
$$\kappa(\gamma) = \frac{1}{12} - \frac{(\pi - \gamma)^2}{6\pi\gamma}$$

Interpretation: free energy of the fluctuating region.

### Back to real time

#### Analytic continuation

- Return probability:  $\tau = it$
- Correlations: y = it and  $\tau \to 0^+$

Continuation of the arctic curves should give the light cone:

Free fermions:  $x^2 + y^2 = (\tau/2)^2 \longrightarrow x = \pm t$ Interactions: complicated  $\longrightarrow x = \pm (\sin \gamma)t = \pm \sqrt{1 - \Delta^2}t$ 

This coincides exactly with the result of generalized hydrodynamics

# Analytic continuation

Numerical observations (huge precision, t up to 600 on laptop):

• Root of unity,  $\gamma = \arccos \Delta = \frac{\pi p}{q}$ 

$$-\log \mathcal{R}(t) = \left(\frac{q^2}{(q-1)^2} - 1\right) \frac{(t\sin\gamma)^2}{12} + O(\log t)$$

Coincides with analytic continuation only when  $p=1. \label{eq:poincides}$  non root of unity

$$-\log \mathcal{R}(t) = t \sin \gamma + O(\log t)$$

# Analytic continuation

Numerical observations (huge precision, t up to 600 on laptop):



Fractal behavior also compatible also with [De Luca, Collura, Viti 2017]

How about a proof using Riemann-Hilbert techniques? [Its, Izergin, Korepin, Slavnov 1990]

#### The special case $\Delta = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(t) &= |\det(I - K)|^2 \text{ on } L^2([0; \sqrt{t}]). \\ K(u, v) &= i\sqrt{uv}J_0(uv)e^{-\frac{1}{2}i\left(u^2 + v^2\right)} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \frac{e^{i\pi/4}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{i}{2}(u-v)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Nb: in imaginary time, this coincides with an exact large deviation result in SSEP [Derrida, Gerschenfeld 2009]

Computing each  $\operatorname{Tr} K^n \propto \sqrt{t}$  asymptotically is doable. In the end:

$$\mathcal{R}(t) \sim \exp\left(-\zeta(3/2)\sqrt{t/\pi}\right)t^{1/2}O(1)$$

By the previous logic  $(x(t) \sim t^{\delta} \Rightarrow \mathcal{R}(t) \leq e^{-at^{\delta}})$ , we find  $\delta \leq 1/2$ . Transport is at most diffusive for this quench, probably diffusive.

### The emptiness formation probability

$$E(x,t) = \langle \Psi(t) | P_x | \Psi(t) \rangle$$
,  $P_x = \prod_{j=-\infty}^x \left( \frac{1 + \sigma_j^z}{2} \right)$ 

Probability that all spins on the left of j = x are up at time t.

Simple cases (last two follow from conservation of magnetization):

$$E(x \ll -t, t) = 1$$
$$E(x > 0, t) = 0$$
$$E(x = 0, t) = |\langle \psi(t) | \psi_0 \rangle|^2$$











## Exact formula for the emptiness

$$a = \sin(\gamma + \epsilon), \quad b = \sin(\epsilon), \quad \Delta = \cos\gamma, \quad \varphi(\epsilon) = \frac{\sin\gamma}{\sin(\gamma + \epsilon)\sin\epsilon}$$

$$\begin{split} E_N(a,b,\Delta|r,s) &= \\ \frac{\det_{1 \leq j,k \leq N} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \partial \xi_k^{j-1} & (k \leq s) \\ \partial_{\epsilon}^{j+k-s-2} \varphi(\epsilon) & (k > s) \end{array} \right]}{\det_{1 \leq j,k \leq N} \left[ \partial_{\epsilon}^{j+k-2} \varphi(\epsilon) \right]} F(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_s) \Big|_{\xi_1 = \ldots = \xi_s = 0} \end{split}$$

$$F(\{\xi_i\}) = \prod_{j=1}^{s} \frac{(N-j)! [\sin \xi_j]^{N-r} \sin(\xi_j - \gamma)^r}{\sin(\gamma + \epsilon)^r [\sin \epsilon]^{N-r} \sin(\xi_j + \epsilon)^N} \prod_{1 \le j < k \le s} \frac{\sin(\xi_j + \gamma + \epsilon) \sin(\xi_k + \epsilon)}{\sin(\xi_j - \xi_k + \gamma)}$$

[Colomo & Pronko 2007], then take the Trotter limit. [To do]

## Example of free fermions (ballistic)

• Exact determinant formula  $E(x,t) = \det(\ldots)$ 

• Asymptotics:

$$E(x,t) \sim e^{-t^2 f(x/t)}$$
 with  $f(s) = \frac{1}{4} + s + \frac{s^2}{4} \left(3 - 2\log(-s)\right)$ 

#### Conjectures away from free fermions

• Root of unity:

$$E(x,t) \sim e^{-t^2 f_\gamma(x/t)}$$

where  $f_{\gamma}$  is nowhere continuous behavior as a function of  $\gamma$ .

• Heisenberg point ( $\Delta = 1$ )

$$E(x,t)\sim \exp\left[-\sqrt{t}f(x/\sqrt{t})\right]t^{\dots}O(1)$$

which would mean diffusive transport.

#### Conclusion

• Exact computations, valid for all x and t.

• Large x, t behavior is compatible with GHD.

• Relation with arctic circle problems.

• For  $\Delta=1,$  results do suggest diffusive behavior.

#### Thank you!